

### Annual Mobility Assessment Interpretation Charts

Use these charts to interpret client/participant's performance on each of the tests/measures of the protocol. They are based on reference values (mean and standard deviation) by decade of age and sex reported in the recent research literature.

- The 1<sup>st</sup> column contains the **reference value** (mean & standard deviation) by age and sex.
- Performance in the 2<sup>nd</sup> column (red zone-a full standard deviation below the mean) suggests that mobility problems exist
- Performance in the 3<sup>rd</sup> column (yellow zone, 1 SD below to the mean) suggests that mobility problems may be developing
- Performance in the 4<sup>th</sup> column (green zone, at the mean and better) suggests that mobility problems are not present.

#### To use the charts to determine categorization for each test/measure

- select the **ROW** corresponding to the client/participant's AGE category.
- Select the **column closest in value** to the participant's **performance value** (be sure to review all columns)
- Write the value of the client/participant's performance on the report card. Draw a line on the bar estimating where the value lies.

#### MEN USUAL WALKING SPEED (m/sec)

Fall Risk: < .76 m/sec SN .65, SP .71<sup>a</sup>  
Frailty Risk: < .63 m/sec SN .90, SP .90<sup>b</sup>

Age Group	MEN (faster = better performance)			
	Reference Mean (SD)	Red Zone	Yellow Zone	Green Zone
50-59 <sup>c</sup>	1.31 (.16)	< 1.15	1.16 - 1.30	1.31+
60-69 <sup>c</sup>	1.27 (.17)	< 1.10	1.11 - 1.26	1.27+
70-79 <sup>c</sup>	1.18 (.20)	< 0.98	.99 - 1.17	1.18+
80-89 <sup>c</sup>	1.02 (.20)	< 0.82	.83 - 1.01	1.02+
≥ 90 <sup>c</sup>	.91 (.17)	< 0.74	.75 - .90	.91+

#### MEN 30 SEC CHAIR STAND (# repetitions)

Fall Risk: 60-69 < 11 70-79 < 10  
80-89 < 8 90+ < 4<sup>e</sup>

Age Group	MEN (more reps, better performance)			
	Reference Mean (SD)	Red Zone	Yellow Zone	Green Zone
50-59 <sup>d</sup>	13.9 (3.9)	≤ 10	11 - 14	14+
60-69 <sup>d</sup>	10.2 (4.9)	≤ 15	16 - 19	20+
70-79 <sup>d</sup>	14.0 (5.8)	≤ 8	9 - 13	14+
80-89 <sup>d</sup>	9.1 (6.1)	≤ 3	4 - 8	9+
≥ 90 <sup>d</sup>	9.1 (4.2)	≤ 5	6 - 8	9+

#### MEN TIMED UP AND GO

Fall Risk<sup>g</sup> > 12.0 sec SN .74 SP .52<sup>h</sup>  
Frailty<sup>b</sup> > 17.8 sec

Age Group	MEN (less time = better performance)			
	Reference Mean (SD)	Red Zone	Yellow Zone	Green Zone
50-59 <sup>d</sup>	8.7 (3.3)	≥ 12.0	8.8 - 11.9	≤ 8.7
60-69 <sup>d</sup>	8.1 (1.8)	≥ 9.9	8.3 - 9.9	≤ 8.1
70-79 <sup>d</sup>	9.2 (2.7)	≥ 11.9	9.3 - 11.8	≤ 9.2
80-89 <sup>d</sup>	11.4 (3.0)	≥ 14.4	11.5-14.3	≤ 11.4
≥ 90 <sup>d</sup>	14.7 (6.3)	≥ 21.0	14.8 - 20.9	≤ 14.7

#### MEN FAST WALKING SPEED (M/SEC)

Fall Risk < 1.10 m/sec SN .76, SP .60<sup>a</sup>

Age Group	MEN (faster = better performance)			
	Reference Mean (SD)	Red Zone	Yellow Zone	Green Zone
50-59 <sup>d</sup>	1.33 (.55)	< 0.78	.79 - 1.32	1.33 +
60-69 <sup>d</sup>	1.40 (.30)	< 1.10	1.11 - 1.39	1.40 +
70-79 <sup>d</sup>	1.58 (.51)	< 1.07	1.08 - 1.58	1.58 +
80-89 <sup>d</sup>	1.36 (.38)	< .98	.99 - 1.35	1.36 +
≥ 90 <sup>d</sup>	1.19 (.32)	< .87	.88 - 1.90	1.91 +

#### MEN FOUR SQUARE STEP TEST (sec)

Multiple Fall Risk > 15 sec SN .85, SP .88<sup>g</sup>

Age Group	MEN (less time = better performance)			
	Reference Mean SD <sup>e</sup>	Red Zone	Yellow Zone	Green Zone
50-59 <sup>d</sup>	10.2 (3.3)	≥ 13.5	10.3-13.4	≤ 10.2
60-69 <sup>d</sup>	9.4 (1.9)	≥ 11.3	9.5-11.2	≤ 9.4
70-79 <sup>d</sup>	10.9 (4.2)	≥ 15.1	11.0-15.0	≤ 10.9
80-89 <sup>d</sup>	15.8 (8.0)	≥ 23.8	15.9-23.7	≤ 15.8
≥ 90 <sup>d</sup>	13.0 (3.4)	≥ 16.4	13.1-16.3	≤ 13.0

#### MEN TIME UP AND GO with COGNITIVE TASK

Fall Risk > 11 sec SN 1.00. SP .66<sup>i</sup>

Age Group	MEN (less time = better performance)			
	Reference Mean (SD)	Red Zone	Yellow Zone	Green Zone
50-59 <sup>d</sup>	11.9 (5.9)	≥ 17.8	12.0-17.7	≤ 11.9
60-69 <sup>d</sup>	13.0 (5.0)	≥ 18.0	13.1-17.9	≤ 13.0
70-79 <sup>d</sup>	12.9 (5.0)	≥ 17.9	13.0-17.8	≤ 12.9
80-89 <sup>d</sup>	17.3 (4.7)	≥ 22.0	17.4-21.9	≤ 17.3
≥ 90 <sup>d</sup>	24.3 (10.2)	≥ 34.5	24.4-34.4	≤ 24.3

**WOMEN: USUAL WALKING SPEED (m/sec)**

Fall Risk: < .76 m/sec SN .65, SP .71<sup>a</sup>  
 Frailty Risk: < .63 m/sec SN .90, SP .90<sup>b</sup>

Age Group	WOMEN (faster = better performance)			
	Reference Mean (SD)	Red Zone	Yellow Zone	Green Zone
50-59 <sup>c</sup>	1.26 (0.17)	≤ 1.09	1.10 - 1.25	1.26 +
60-69 <sup>c</sup>	1.22 (0.16)	≤ 1.06	1.07 - 1.21	1.22 +
70-79 <sup>c</sup>	1.12 (0.20)	≤ .92	.93 - 1.11	1.12 +
80-89 <sup>c</sup>	0.98 (0.21)	≤ .77	.78 - .97	.98 +
≥ 90 <sup>c</sup>	0.76 (0.21)	≤ .55	.56 - .75	.76+

**WOMEN FAST WALKING SPEED**

Fall Risk: < .1.10 m/sec Sn .76, Sp .60<sup>a</sup>

Age Group	WOMEN (faster = better performance)			
	Reference Mean (SD)	Red Zone	Yellow Zone	Green Zone
50-59 <sup>d</sup>	1.67 (0.51)	≤ 1.16	1.17 - 1.66	1.67 +
60-69 <sup>d</sup>	1.59 (0.37)	≤ 1.22	1.23 - 1.58	1.59 +
70-79 <sup>d</sup>	1.52 (0.33)	≤ 1.19	1.20 - 1.51	1.52 +
80-89 <sup>d</sup>	1.20 (0.33)	≤ 0.87	.88 - 1.19	1.20 +
90+ <sup>d</sup>	1.02 (0.27)	≤ 0.75	.76 - 1.01	1.02 +

**WOMEN 30 SEC CHAIR STAND (Repetitions)**

Fall Risk: 60-69 < 11 70-79 < 10<sup>e</sup>  
 80-89 < 8 90+ < 4

Age Group	WOMEN (more reps = better)			
	Reference Mean (SD)	Red Zone	Yellow Zone	Green Zone
50-59 <sup>d</sup>	14.3 (6.9)	≤ 7	8 - 13	14 +
60-69 <sup>d</sup>	13.4 (4.1)	≤ 9	10-12	13 +
70-79 <sup>d</sup>	11.6 (5.3)	≤ 6	7 - 11	12 +
80-89 <sup>d</sup>	9.7 (4.2)	≤ 6	7 - 9	10 +
90+ <sup>d</sup>	8.6 (3.7)	≤ 5	6 - 8	9 +

**WOMEN FOUR SQUARE STEP TEST**

Multiple Fall Risk: ≥ 15 sec SN .85, SP .88<sup>f</sup>

Age Group	WOMEN (less time = better)			
	Reference Mean SD	Red Zone	Yellow Zone	Green Zone
50-59 <sup>d</sup>	10.3 (4.7)	≥ 15.0	14.9 - 10.4	≤ 10.3
60-69 <sup>d</sup>	9.7 (2.4)	≥ 12.1	9.8 - 12.0	≤ 9.7
70-79 <sup>d</sup>	10.9 (3.6)	≥ 14.5	11.0 - 14.4	≤ 10.9
80-89 <sup>d</sup>	14.9 (5.7)	≥ 20.6	15.0 - 20.5	≤ 14.9
≥ 90 <sup>d</sup>	20.0 (16.7)	≥ 36.7	20.1 - 36.6	≤ 20.0

**WOMEN TIMED UP AND GO**

Fall Risk<sup>g</sup> > 12 sec Sn .74, Sp .31<sup>h</sup>  
 Frailty ≥ 17.8 sec. Sn .93, Sp .98<sup>b</sup>

Age Group	WOMEN (less time = better)			
	Reference Mean SD <sup>e</sup>	Red Zone	Yellow Zone	Green Zone
50-59 <sup>d</sup>	8.7 (3.3)	≥ 12.0	8.8 - 11.9	≤ 8.7
60-69 <sup>d</sup>	8.1 (1.8)	≥ 9.9	8.2 - 9.8	≤ 8.1
70-79 <sup>d</sup>	9.2 (2.7)	≥ 11.9	9.3 - 11.8	≤ 9.2
80-89 <sup>d</sup>	11.4 (3.0)	≥ 14.4	11.5 - 14.3	≤ 11.4
≥ 90 <sup>d</sup>	14.7 (6.3)	≥ 21.0	14.8 - 20.9	≤ 14.7

**WOMEN TIME UP AND GO with COGNITIVE TASK**

Fall Risk > 11 sec. Sn 1.00, Sp .66<sup>i</sup>

Age Group	WOMEN (less time = better)			
	Reference Mean SD <sup>e</sup>	Red Zone	Yellow Zone	Green Zone
50-59 <sup>d</sup>	11.9 (5.9)	≥ 17.8	12.0-17.7	≤ 11.9
60-69 <sup>d</sup>	13.0 (5.0)	≥ 18.0	13.1-17.9	≤ 13.0
70-79 <sup>d</sup>	12.9 (5.0)	≥ 17.9	13.0 - 17.8	≤ 12.9
80-89 <sup>d</sup>	17.3 (4.7)	≥ 22.0	17.4-21.9	≤ 17.3
≥ 90 <sup>d</sup>	24.3 (10.2)	≥ 34.5	24.4 - 34.4	≤ 24.3

**Minimal Detectable Change Values For Mobility Assessment Measures**

Measure Name	MDC for Community Living Older Adults
Usual Walking Speed	0.05 m/sec <sup>j</sup>
Fast Walking Speed	0.09 m/sec <sup>k</sup>
30 sec. Chair Rise	0.9 repetitions <sup>l</sup>
Four Square Step Test	Not yet reported
Timed Up and Go	1.0 sec <sup>m</sup>
TUG Dual Task Cost compare TUG vs TUG-DT cog	Change of 41% from than TUG time <sup>n</sup>

**Interpretation:**

Change in performance (increase or decrease) at the reported level is thought to be beyond measurement error, and potentially meaningful.

## Interpretation Chart References

- a. Middleton A, Fulk GD, Herter TM, et al. Self-Selected and Maximal Walking Speeds Provide Greater Insight Into Fall Status Than Walking Speed Reserve Among Community-Dwelling Older Adults. *Am J Phys Med Rehabil*. 2016;95(7):475-82. doi: 10.1097/PHM.0000000000000488
- b. Abizanda P, Romero L, Sánchez-Jurado PM, et al. Association between Functional Assessment Instruments and Frailty in Older Adults: The FRADEA Study. *J Frailty Aging*. 2012;1(4):162-8. doi: 10.14283/jfa.2012.25.
- c. Dommershuijsen LJ, Ragunathan J, Ruiters R, et al. Gait speed reference values in community-dwelling older adults - Cross-sectional analysis from the Rotterdam Study. *Exp Gerontol*. 2022;158:111646. doi: 10.1016/j.exger.2021.111646.
- d. Winding S, Shin DGD, Rogers CJ, et al. Referent Values for Commonly Used Clinical Mobility Tests in Black and White Adults Aged 50-95 Years. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*. 2023;104(9):1474-1483. doi:10.1016/j.apmr.2023.03.019
- e. Stopping Elderly Accidents, Deaths and Injuries. Center for Disease Control <https://www.cdc.gov/steady/media/pdfs/steady-assessment-30sec-508.pdf>
- f. Dite W, Temple VA. A clinical test of stepping and change of direction to identify multiple falling older adults. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*. 2002;83(11):1566-71. doi: 10.1053/apmr.2002.35469.
- g. Stopping Elderly Accidents, Deaths and Injuries. Center for Disease Control. <https://www.cdc.gov/steady/media/pdfs/steady-assessment-tug-508.pdf>
- h. Alexandre TS, Meira DM, Rico NC, Mizuta SK. Accuracy of Timed Up and Go Test for screening risk of falls among community-dwelling elderly. *Rev Bras Fisioter*. 2012;16(5):381-388. doi:10.1590/S1413-35552012005000041.
- i. Tong Y, Rong J, Tian X, et al. Use of Dual-Task Timed-Up-and-Go Tests for Predicting Falls in Physically Active, Community-Dwelling Older Adults-A Prospective Study. *J Aging Phys Act*. 2023;31(6):948-955. doi: 10.1123/japa.2022-0341. PMID: 37263592.
- j. Hardy SE, Perera S, Roumani YF, Chandler JM, Studenski SA. Improvement in usual gait speed predicts better survival in older adults. *J Am Geriatr Soc*. 2007;55(11):1727-1734. doi:10.1111/j.1532-5415.2007.01413.x
- k. Chui KK, Lusardi MM. Spatial and temporal parameters of self-selected and fast walking speeds in healthy community-living adults aged 72-98 years. *J Geriatr Phys Ther*. 2010;33(4):173-183
- l. Arkesteijn M, Low D. Responsiveness of functional assessments to monitor change in balance, walking speed and strength of older adults: A systematic review of the minimal detectable change. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.06.06.22276029>
- m. Alfonso-Rosa RM, del Pozo-Cruz B, del Pozo-Cruz J, et al. (2014). Test-retest reliability and minimal detectable change scores for fitness assessment in older adults with type 2 diabetes. *Rehabil Nurs J*, 2014. 39(5):260-268.
- n. Venema DM, Hansen H, High R, et al. Minimal Detectable Change in Dual-Task Cost for Older Adults With and Without Cognitive Impairment. *J Geriatr Phys Ther*. 2019 42(4);E32-E38. DOI: 10.1519/JPT.0000000000000194